

Fibre Channel over Ethernet (FCoE) Concepts




What is FCoE?

- **Fibre Channel over Ethernet (FCoE) is the transport of Fibre Channel “packets” over Ethernet**
 - Ethernet becomes the Fibre Channel physical interface
 - Ethernet NIC cards are the HBAs
 - Driver makes the NIC look like a traditional FC HBA
 - Ethernet switches make up the “Fabric”
 - Fibre Channel then becomes a transport protocol
 - There are no Fibre Channel frames (only Ethernet frames)
 - Fibre Channel frame content (“packets”) is delivered in the Ethernet frames
 - No Fibre Channel HBAs or switches are required

Slide 2



FCoE Configuration (Big Picture)



Slide 3




The Converged Ethernet Fabric

- **Today, each application class has its own interface**
 - Networking: Ethernet
 - Storage: Fibre Channel (or SAS or SATA)
 - Clustering: Infiniband
- **This results in three different networks**
 - Three different sets of hardware and cables
 - Three different tools and skill sets
- **Instead, why not use a single “converged” network?**
 - Fewer adapters and cables is especially important in the data center or blade servers

Slide 4




Converged Enhanced Ethernet (CEE)



Slide 5



FCoE Protocol "Stack"



Slide 6



FCoE Objectives

- **Seamlessly and transparently replace the Fibre Channel physical interface with Ethernet**
 - No change protocol mappings, information units, initialization steps, services, etc.
- **Could be implemented totally in software using standard Ethernet NICs**
 - Similar to iSCSI initiator driver
 - High-performance would require hardware assists, much as provided by existing FC HBAs
 - But no TCP/IP Offload Engines (TOEs)

Slide 7




Dedicated vs. Shared Storage Network

- **The storage network may be dedicated to storage traffic**
 - Much as Fibre Channel networks are dedicated
- **Or, the network may be shared by storage and LAN traffic**
 - Single adapter and interconnect for devices such as blade servers
 - Need to ensure adequate “quality-of-service” to the storage traffic
 - This can be provided by prioritizing storage (FC) traffic (e.g., as per IEEE 802.1Q)

Slide 8



FCoE Converged Network



Slide 9



Existing Mappings to Ethernet


- **There are existing storage mappings to Ethernet**
 - Internet SCSI (iSCSI)
 - Internet FCP (iFCP)
 - Fibre Channel over IP (FCIP)
- **All require TCP/IP**
 - TCP/IP adds complexity and overhead
 - Argument is that this is not required in a local network
 - Still required for the WAN or long-haul
 - FCoE bypasses TCP/IP for efficiency and simplicity

Slide 10



FC over IP (FCIP) Example


- **FCIP has already defined an FC frame encapsulation method**
 - Requires use of TCP/IP
 - This introduces extra overhead in processing at the TCP and IP layers
- **For performance reasons, it would be nice to avoid TCP/IP altogether**
 - TCP in software is slow
 - TCP in hardware is complicated and expensive



Slide 11



Ethernet Frame with FC Packet (Concept)




- **Let's get rid of the TCP/IP overhead altogether**
- **Package the FC frame content directly in an Ethernet frame**
 - Less overhead = greater efficiency and performance
 - Simpler hardware can be used

Slide 12



Ethernet Frame with FC Packet (Concept)



- **FCoE eliminates all unnecessary overhead**
 - No TCP/IP
 - SOF and EOF are encoded into Ethernet fields
 - Ethernet frame CRC replaces FC frame CRC (same algorithm)
- **Still have FC frame header overhead**
 - Necessary for operation management and “gateway” functions



Slide 13

Relative Framing Overhead

- **Both FC and Ethernet have framing overhead**
 - Note that the difference in efficiency is on the order of 1 to 2%

FC Framing Efficiency:

$$\frac{\text{Data}}{\text{SOF} + \text{Header} + \text{Data} + \text{CRC} + \text{EOF} + \text{IFG}} = \frac{2112}{4 + 24 + 2112 + 4 + 4 + 24} = \frac{1500}{1544} = 97.24\%$$

Enet Framing Efficiency:

$$\frac{\text{Data}}{\text{SOF} + \text{Header} + \text{Data} + \text{CRC} + \text{EOF} + \text{IFG}} = \frac{1500}{4 + 20 + 1500 + 4 + 4 + 12} = \frac{1500}{1544} = 97.15\%$$

FCoE Framing Efficiency (standard Ethernet Frames):

$$\frac{\text{Data}}{\text{SOF} + \text{Header} + \text{FC Header} + \text{Data} + \text{CRC} + \text{EOF} + \text{IFG}} = \frac{1500}{4 + 20 + 24 + 1500 + 4 + 4 + 12} = \frac{1500}{1568} = 95.66\%$$

FCoE Framing Efficiency (Ethernet Jumbo Frames):

$$\frac{\text{Data}}{\text{SOF} + \text{Header} + \text{FC Header} + \text{Data} + \text{CRC} + \text{EOF} + \text{IFG}} = \frac{2112}{4 + 20 + 24 + 2112 + 4 + 4 + 12} = \frac{2112}{2180} = 96.88\%$$



Slide 14

FCoE Frame Considerations

- **FC frame content delivered in Ethernet frame**
 - 1 to 1 correspondence between FC frames and Ethernet frames
 - Each FC frame = 1 Ethernet frame
 - Multiple short FC frames are not put into the same Ethernet frame
- **FC frames can be larger than Ethernet frames**
 - FC data field maximum is 2112 bytes (+ 24 byte header + any extended headers)
 - Standard Ethernet frame data is 1500 bytes maximum
 - Options (tbd)?
 - Limit FC frame data field size during login
 - Use larger Ethernet frames (“jumbo” frames)

Slide 15




FCoE to FC Gateways

- **Desirable to mix FCoE and Fibre Channel in same configuration**
 - Requires a “Gateway” device
 - iSCSI gateways are complex and affect performance
- **FCoE Gateways are simple and efficient**
 - Simple frame translation with a 1:1 frame mapping
 - No need to remember state information
 - Extremely simple and low-cost

Slide 16



FCoE to FC Gateway



Slide 17



Lossless and Reliable Delivery

- **Storage requires “reliable” delivery**
- **Bit Error Rate (BER)**
 - Transmission errors can corrupt frames
 - Must provide an acceptable bit error rate to prevent frame corruption
- **Frame Loss**
 - Switches and devices must not discard frames
 - Flow control is necessary to prevent frame drop due to buffer conditions

Slide 18



Bit Error Rate Considerations


- **Many Ethernet bit error rates are comparable to Fibre Channel**
 - Bit Error Rate objective for 1 Gb and 10 Gb Ethernet is the same as for Fibre Channel (10^{-12})
- **Some links may have higher error rates and may not be acceptable for storage**
 - Cable plant may be more variable
 - Needs to be taken into consideration for FCoE usage

Slide 19



Fibre Channel Flow Control (Credit)

- **A receiving port gives a sending port permission to send a specified number of frames**
- **That permission is called credit**
 - When a frame is sent, the available credit is decremented (consumed)
 - When a reply is received, the available credit is incremented (replenished)
 - As long as a port has available credit, it may send additional frames
 - If the credit is exhausted, frame transmission is suspended until the credit is replenished




Slide 20



Ethernet “Pause” Flow Control

- Ethernet has an optional “pause” based flow control
 - Described in IEEE 802.3 Annex 31B
 - Receiver tells the sender when to pause or resume frame transmission (done in hardware, not software)
 - Receiver must send pause while there is enough buffer space to accommodate any frames in transit plus time for the pause to be received and processed



Slide 21



Whys (and Why Nots)



Why Use Ethernet?

- **Why pick Ethernet as the base transport?**

- Ethernet is everywhere
 - There is a huge Ethernet infrastructure in place
 - Technology is well understood, skills and tools already in place
- Ethernet is inexpensive
 - It offers the most “bang for the buck”
 - There is tremendous competition to drive prices down
- Ethernet has raw speed
 - Gigabit is now mainstream
 - 10 Gbit is in its early deployment phase
 - 100 Gbit study group launched in 2006

Slide 23



Why Maintain Fibre Channel Content?

- **Why not get rid of Fibre Channel altogether and use something else, such as iSCSI?**

- iSCSI has made inroads into storage, but the adoption has been slow
- Often deployed where Fibre Channel is not already in use

- **There is a significant install base of Fibre Channel today**

- Customers do not want to do a “rip and replace”
- Fibre Channel is a proven technology
- Fibre Channel supports protocols other than SCSI
 - What would be the solution for FICON without Fibre Channel?
 - FC-SATA opens opportunity for “tiered” storage environments
- Fibre Channel will probably continue to provide the highest performance for the data center

Slide 24



Why not use iSCSI?

- **iSCSI is necessary for “lossy” or “out-of-order” networks**
 - e.g. many LANs, the Internet, long distance WAN solutions
- **iSCSI design was for TCP/IP networks**
 - TCP is a stateful, byte-oriented protocol
 - TCP processing adds additional overhead
 - or complexity of TCO Offload Engines
 - Memory needed for reassembly, reordering, and retransmission
 - Gateway between iSCSI and Fibre Channel is complex and expensive
 - iSCSI Information Units are different the FC Information Units
- **iSCSI provides recovery and flow control via TCP**
 - Not needed in a lossless Ethernet environment using Ethernet flow control

Slide 25



What About ATA over Ethernet (ATAoE)?

- **Companies have proposed (and implemented) ATA over Ethernet**
 - Map ATA commands to Ethernet frames
 - Software implementation (driver)
 - Limited products to date (e.g., Coraid)
- **ATAoE doesn't address the SCSI command base (or other protocols)**
 - This includes most data centers and mid- to high-end servers

Slide 26



FCoE Timeline and Roadmap

(very preliminary)



When?

- **FCoE is in its very early stages**
 - Need to develop Fibre Channel encapsulation standard
 - May need to develop Ethernet standards
 - Product development
- **At this point, it is hard to pin down exact dates**

Slide 28



Fibre Channel Standards Activity

- **Fibre Channel standards status:**
 - April 5, 2007: Initial presentations made to INCITS T11 standards body
 - June 2007: Expect a formal project proposal to develop an FCoE standard
 - 2008-2009 (?): Standard complete
- **There is much work to be done to define a complete solution**
 - Mapping FC frames to Ethernet frames is the simplest part
 - Must also address Fibre Channel functions such as:
 - Name Server
 - Fabric Controller
 - Zoning
 - State Change Notification
 - etc., etc.

Slide 29



Ethernet Standards

- **Its not clear if changes required to any Ethernet standards, and if so, the timeline**
 - Pause is required for reliable delivery
 - Already in in IEEE 802.3 Annex 31B)
 - VLANs (802.1Q)
 - This will provide priority and may replace zoning
 - Quality of Service considerations (802.1P)
 - Congestion Management (802.1au)
 - Routing: Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links (TRILL)

Slide 30



Product Development

- **No specific product dates yet**
 - Early “proof-of-concept” products can be implemented in software
 - Much as the iSCSI driver is done in software
 - Later products can implement hardware assists for performance
- **FCoE may end up with a “tiered” implementation structure**
 - Software-based products for low cost
 - Hardware-assisted products for higher performance (at a higher cost)

Slide 31



Fibre Channel over Ethernet Supporters

- **Multiple companies appear to be backing FCoE**
- **According to an article in Network World (4/5/2007) they include:**
 - Brocade
 - Cisco
 - EMC
 - Emulex
 - IBM
 - Intel
 - Nuova Systems (a Cisco spinoff)
 - QLogic
 - Sun

Slide 32



End of “Fibre Channel over Ethernet
(FCoE) Concepts”

